# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/821,651		03/29/2001	Takao Yoshimine	450100-03090	450100-03090 5730	
20999	7590	07/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FROMMEI		ENCE & HAUG		CHANKONG, DOHM		
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	*			2154		

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
		09/821,6		YOSHIMINE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary				Art Unit				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Examine Comm. Comm		2154				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	Dohm Ch		<u> </u>				
Period fo		rouden appears on an						
THE   - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no expunication. O) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and way.	vent, however, may a reply be tir tutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>11 March 2004</i>						
,	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/at Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the property of the path or declaration is objected to the specification is objected to the specific and the specific an	: a) ☐ accepted or b ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Se red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notion 1	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (imation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 oer No(s)/Mail Date 5/2003 3/2004.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/821,651

Art Unit: 2154

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being unpatentable over Salo et al ("Salo"), U.S Patent No. 6,563,800.
- 4. As to claim 1, Salo discloses an information processing device, comprising:
  transmitting means for transmitting user registration data necessary to secure

one's exclusive storage area in a server connected in a network, to said server over a network (abstract - with each subscriber is given their own space on the server to store their information being equivalent to exclusive storage area in a server);

receiving means for receiving address data designated as an access point indicating said exclusive storage area oriented to said user registration data from said server over said network (column 10, lines 48-57); and

connection means for performing connection processing automatically to said access point in the said server based on said access data received by said receiving means (column 9, lines 52-65).

- 5. As to claim 2, Salo discloses the information processing device of claim 1, wherein said connection means is to retain said address data oriented to an automatic connection setting program, thereby performing connection processing to said access point in said server oriented to said address data in accordance with said automatic connection setting program (column 10, lines 48-57 and claim 1).
- 6. As to claim 3, Salo discloses the information processing device of claim 1 wherein:

said receiving means is to receive said address data as well as an automatic connection setting program containing said address data, from said server (column 9, lines 52-59 and column 10, lines 48-51); and

said connection means is to perform connection processing to said access point in said server oriented said address data in accordance with said automatic connection setting program (column 9, lines 52-65).

- 7. As to claim 5, Salo discloses an information processing device of claim 1 wherein said address data is a uniform resource locator (URL) for designating resources on said network (column 10, lines 48-57).
- 8. Claim 6 is a method that claims the steps carried out by the information processing device of claim 1. Therefore, claim 6 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 4, as applied to claim 1.
- 9. Claim 7 is a method that claims the steps carried out by the information processing device of claim 2. Therefore, claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 5, as applied to claim 2.
- 10. Claim 8 is a method that claims the steps carried out by the information processing device of claim 3. Therefore, claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 6, as applied to claim 3.
- Claim 10 is a method that claims the steps carried out by the information processing device of claim 5. Therefore, claim 10 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 7, as applied to claim 5.

- Art Unit: 2154
- 12. Claim II is a storage medium that claims the steps performed by the information processing device of claim I. Therefore, claim II is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 4, as applied to claim I.
- 13. Claim 12 is a storage medium that claims the steps performed by the information processing device of claim 2. Therefore, claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 5, as applied to claim 2.
- 14. Claim 13 is a storage medium that claims the steps performed by the information processing device of claim 3. Therefore, claim 11 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 6, as applied to claim 3.
- 15. Claim 15 is a storage medium that claims the steps performed by the information processing device of claim 5. Therefore, claim 15 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 7, as applied to claim 5.
- 16. Claim 16 is a network system consisting of a server and information processing device connected to said server that performs the steps of the information processing device of claim 1. Therefore, claim 16 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 4, as applied to claim 1.
- 17. Claim 17 is a network system consisting of a server and information processing device connected to said server that performs the steps of the information processing

device of claim 2. Therefore, claim 17 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 5, as applied to claim 2.

- 18. Claim 18 is a network system consisting of a server and information processing device connected to said server that performs the steps of the information processing device of claim 3. Therefore, claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 6, as applied to claim 3.
- 19. Claim 20 is a network system consisting of a server and information processing device connected to said server that performs the steps of the information processing device of claim 5. Therefore, claim 20 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 7, as applied to claim 5.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 20. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4, 9, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being anticipated by Salo in view of Hayes, Jr. et al ("Hayes"), U.S Patent No. 6,339,826.

- Salo does not teach an information processing device wherein said connection means is to perform connection processing to said access point in said server in response to a click operation on an exclusive icon which is displayed on a given display unit for driving said automatic connection setting program.
- Hayes teaches a connections means that performs connection processing to said access point in said server in response to a click operation on an exclusive icon which is displayed on a given display unit for driving said automatic connection setting program (Figure 7, items 710 712, 714, and 716 and column 14, lines 7-26). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include Hayes' icon functionality into Salo increase the security of the device by associating specific icons (and their related applications) to users, which would consequently allow users to access only those applications on the server which they are authorized.
- Claim 9 is a method that claims the steps carried out by the information processing device of claim 4. Therefore, claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraphs 22 and 23, as applied to claim 4.
- 25. Claim 14 is a storage medium that claims the steps performed by the information processing device of claim 4. Therefore, claim 14 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraphs 22 and 23, as applied to claim 4.

26. Claim 19 is a network system consisting of a server and information processing device connected to said server that performs the steps of the information processing device of claim 4. Therefore, claim 19 is rejected for the same reasons as set forth in above paragraph 22 and 23, as applied to claim 4.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S Patent No. 5,956,716 to Kenner et al;

U.S Patent No. 6,081,278 to Chen;

U.S Patent No. 6,166,735 to Dom et al;

U.S Patent No. 6,272,493 to Pasquali;

U.S Patent No. 6,313,835 to Gever et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is (703)305-8864. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DC

JOHN FOLLANSBEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100